Real Analysis Lecture Notes

Job Hernandez Lara

ABSTRACT. In his classic textbook: "Principles of Mathematical Analysis", Dr. Rudin claimed that the main concepts of analysis include continuity, convergence, differentiation and integration. These notes correspond to these main concepts based on Dr. Abbott's analysis textbook "Understanding Analysis".

Contents

Chapter 1. Review	1
1. Constructing Direct Proofs	1
Chapter 2. Real Numbers	3
Chapter 3. Sequences and Series	5
1. Limit of a Sequence	5
2. The Algebraic and Order Limit Theorems	5
Chapter 4. Continuity	7
1. Continuous Functions	7

Review

1. Constructing Direct Proofs

A conditional statement is statement written as $P \implies Q$ where P is the hypothesis and Q is the conclusion. Intuitively, $P \implies Q$ means that Q is true whenever P is true; in other words, if P is true then it follows necessarily that Q is true. Here is the truth table for conditional statements:

A direct proof is a type of proof in which the mathematician demonstrates that one mathematical statement follows logically from definitions and previously proven statements. To prove that a conditional statement $P \implies Q$ is true we only need to prove the Q is true whenever P is true. Why? Because $P \implies Q$ is true whenever P is false. If you take a look at the truth table for conditional statements you will notice that $P \implies Q$ is false when P is true and Q is false. So, by demonstrating that Q is true whenever P is true we can prove the statement because you are guaranteed that the statement is true. There is a technique for proving by this method called the **know-show-table**. In this technique we work forward from the hypothesis and backwards from the conclusion and we try to connect the two by building a chain of reasoning. You start with the conclusion and ask "under what conditions is the conclusion true?" and then work backwards. Suppose we are given the statement: If x and y are odd integers, then $x \cdot y$ is an odd integer. Here is the table for this statement:

Step	Know	Reason
P	x and y are odd integers	Hypothesis
P1	There exists integers m and n such that $x = 2m + 1$ and $y = 2n + 1$	Definition of an odd integer.
P2	xy = (2m+1)(2n+1)	Substitution
P3	xy = 4mn + 2m + 2n + 1	Algebra
P4	xy = 2(2mn + m + n) + 1	Algebra
P5	2mn + m + n is an integer	Closure properties of the integers
Q1	There exists an integer q such that $xy = 2q + 1$.	Use $q = (2mn + m + n)$
Q	$x \cdot y$ is an odd integer.	Definition of an odd integer
Step	Show	Reason

In the above **know-show-table** we ask, by working backwards (i.e from the conclusion), we ask "How do we prove that an integer is odd?" and then we continue asking the same question until we can connect it with the hypothesis.

Real Numbers

Dr. Hardy claimed in his book "A Mathematical Apology" that the theorem that states that $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational is a beautiful theorem.

THEOREM 1. There is no rational number whose square is 2.

Proof. Suppose there is a rational number n whose square is 2. Then n can be represented as p/q. Consequently, this means that $(p/q)^2 = 2$. We assume that p and q have no common factors; consequently, $p^2 = 2q^2$. This means that p^2 is even so p is even. Given this we can rewrite p as 2r. If we then substitute p for 2r we end up with: $2r^2 = q^2$ which means that q is even which in turn means that this can be reduced further which is a contradiction because there were suppose to be no common factors.

To understand the main concepts of analysis, namely, continuity, convergence, differentiation and integration we must think hard about the real numbers. As a result, we start with the natural numbers which are denoted as $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, ...\}$. Leopold Kronecker said "The natural numbers are the work of God. The rest is the work of mankind." Given the natural numbers we can perform addition but we must extend our system to the integers, denoted as $\mathbb{Z} = \{..., -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, ...\}$, if we want to include the number zero (additive identity) and the additive inverses needed to define subtraction. Now, how do we extend our system to be able to define multiplication and division? The number one acts as a multiplicative identity but to be able define division we must extend our system to the rational numbers denoted as $\mathbb{Q} = \{\text{all}\ \text{fractions p/q}\ \text{where p}\ \text{and q}\ \text{are integers}\ \text{where q}\ \text{is not 0}\}$. The set of rational numbers is a field because we can perform addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division. The set of natural numbers and the set of integers are not fields. Imagine the number line of the rational numbers. Then we will notice that there are holes in this number line when we consider numbers such as the square root of 2 or square root of 3, and so on. As a result of this observation, mathematicians extended the set of the rational numbers to the real numbers. Given the real numbers wherever there is a hole in the number line we place an irrational number.

A set $A \in \mathbb{R}$ is bounded from above if there exists a $b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a \leq b$ for all a in A. Similarly, a set A is bounded from below if there exists an l such that $l \leq a$ for all $a \in A$. A real number s is the **least upper bound** for a set $A \in R$ if it meets two conditions: 1) s is an upper bound for A; 2) let b be any upper bound for A then $s \leq b$. There isn't a least upper bound in the rational numbers because you can always reduce a given rational number; but there is a least upper bound in a subset of the real numbers. The least upper bound is denoted as s = supA.

LEMMA 2. Assume s in R is an upper bound for a set $A \in R$. Then $s = \sup A$ if and only if for every choice $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an element $a \in A$ such that $s - \epsilon < a$. Here is a quote from the textbook:

Given that s is an upper bound s is the least upper bound if and only if any number smaller than s is not an upper bound.

We will now talk about how \mathbb{Q} is dense in \mathbb{R} .

THEOREM 3. Archimedean Property:

- (i) Given any number $x \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that n > x.
- (ii) Given any real number y > 0 there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying 1/n < y.

Proof. Part (i) states that \mathbb{N} is not bounded. Assume that \mathbb{N} is bounded. Then by the Axiom of Completeness \mathbb{N} should have a least upper bound so we can set $\alpha = \sup \mathbb{N}$. Now if we consider $\alpha - 1$ then we no longer have an upper bound given lemma 2. Therefore there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $\alpha - 1 < n$ but this is to say $\alpha < n + 1$ and as a result $n + 1 \in \mathbb{N}$ which contradicts the fact that α is supposed to be an upper bound for \mathbb{N} . Part (ii) follows from (i) by letting x = 1/y.

Sequences and Series

1. Limit of a Sequence

Firstly, we need to understand what a sequence is. A sequence is function whose domain is \mathbb{N} . In other words, given a function $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ then f(n) is the *n*th term of the sequence. Now, that we have defined what a sequence is we are ready to think about convergence of a sequence. A sequence a_n converges to a whenever the following proposition is true: if $n \ge N$ then $|a_n - a| < \epsilon$ where ϵ is a positive number and there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$. We denote (a_n) converges to a as $\lim_{a_n} = a$. Intuitively, this means that there is an interval $(a - \epsilon, a + \epsilon)$ centered on a where N is the first entry into this interval.

1.1. Exercises. Exercise 2.2.5. Let [[x]] be the greatest integer less than or equal to x; for each sequence find \lim_{a_n} and verify it with the definition of convergence.

Solution (2.2.5 a) $\lim_{5n} = 0$. So, let $\epsilon > 0$ be an arbitrary positive number. Choose a natural number N satisfying whatever ϵ happens to be. We want $5n/5 < \epsilon/5$ which yields $n < \epsilon/5$. Choose a natural number N satisfying $N < \epsilon/5$. Let $n \ge N$ then $n < \epsilon/5$ implies $5n < \epsilon$ and hence $|a_n - 0| < \epsilon$. Note that by claiming that $\lim_{5n} = 0$ we mean that the sequence $a_n = 5n$ converges to 0.

Solution (2.2.5.b) $a_n = [[(12 + 4n)/3n]]$. $\lim_{12+4n/3n} = 1$. So we must show that there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \ge N$ implies $|12 + 4n/3n - 0| < \epsilon$. *Proof.* Pick N = 6. If $n \ge N$ we then have $|12 + 4n/3n - 1| < \epsilon$ because 12 + 4n/3n = 1 for all n > 5.

2. The Algebraic and Order Limit Theorems

A sequence is bounded if every term of x_n is contained in an interval [-M, M] or more formally: Assuming there exists a number M > 0 such that $|x_n| \leq M$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then the sequence x_n is bounded. The theorem that follows from this definition is the following:

THEOREM 4. Every convergent sequence is bounded.

Proof. Suppose (x_n) converges to a limit l. And furthermore suppose $\epsilon = 1$ and by the definition of convergence then we know that x_n is in the interval $(l - \epsilon, l + \epsilon)$ so there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \ge N$. So, we can conclude that $|x_n| < |l| + 1$ for all $n \ge N$.

How do sequences behave with respect to the operations of addition, multiplication, division and order?

THEOREM 5. (Algebraic Limit Theorem). Let $\lim_{a_n} = a$ and $\lim_{b_n} = b$. Then,

- (i) $\lim_{ca_n} = ca$, for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$;
- (*ii*) $\lim_{a_n+b_n} = a+b$;
- (*iii*) $\lim_{a_n * b_n} = ab;$
- (iv) $\lim_{a_n/b_n} = a/b$, provided bis not 0.

2.1. Exercises. Exercise 2.3.1 (a). Let $x_n \ge 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. (a) If $x_n \to 0$ show that $|\sqrt{x_n} - 0|$. Whatever ϵ happens to be we want $\sqrt{x_n} < \epsilon$ which is equivalent to $x_n < \epsilon^2$. We claim that $\lim_{x \to \infty} \sqrt{x_n} = 0$. *Proof.* Let $\epsilon > 0$ be an arbitrary positive number. Choose a natural number N satisfying $N < \epsilon^2$. Let $n \ge N$ then $n < \epsilon^2$ implies $\sqrt{x_n} < \epsilon$ and hence $|a_n - 0| < \epsilon$.

Continuity

1. Continuous Functions

In short a function f is continuous at $c \in A$ if $\lim_{x\to c} f(x) = f(c)$. This means that f(x) converges to f(c) by definition.

THEOREM 6. (Characterization of continuity) Let $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$ and let $c \in A$. The function f is continuous at c if and only if anyone of the following three conditions is met:

(i) for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $|x - c| < \delta$ and $x \in A$ implies $|f(x) - f(c)| < \epsilon$.

(ii) for all $V_{\epsilon}(f(c))$ there exists a $V_{\delta}(c)$ with the property that $x \in V_{\delta}(c)$ and $x \in A$ implies $f(x) \in V_{\epsilon}(f(c))$

(iii) if $x_n \to c$ with $x_n \in A$ then $f(x_n) \to f(c)$.

If c is limit point of A then the above conditions are equivalent to $\lim_{x\to c} f(x) = f(c)$.

THEOREM 7. (Algebraic continuity theorem) Assume $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$ and $g: A \to \mathbb{R}$ are continuous at point $c \in A$. Then,

(i) kf(x) is continuous at c for all $k \in \mathbb{R}$;

(ii) f(x) + g(x) is continuous at point c;

(iii) f(x)g(x) is continuous at point c;

(iv) f(x)/g(x) is continuous at point c, provided the quotient is defined.

1.1. Exercises. Exercise 4.3.1 a. Let $q(x) = \sqrt[3]{x}$. Prove that q is continuous at c = 0.

Solution 4.3.1.a. Let $\epsilon > 0$. We need to argue that $|g(x) - g(c)| < \epsilon$ for all values of x in some δ neighborhood around c. If c = 0 this reduces to the statement $\sqrt[3]{x} < \epsilon$ which happens as long as $x < \epsilon^3$; thus if we choose $\delta = \epsilon^3$ we see that $|x - 0| < \delta$ implies $|f(x) - 0| < \epsilon$.